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## Abstract

This study included 10 genotypes of bread wheat, namely M45, Yakora, Giza168, Sids 12, SK95, Misr2, Giemiza 9, Sahel 1, Sids14, and Silano. The genotypes (parents and their single crosses) were cultivated at the research station of the Department of Field Crops of the College of Agriculture University of Kirkuk. During the winter agricultural season (2021-2022) using a Randomized Complete Block Design (R.C.B.D) and with three replications. The performance and hybrid vigour of the genetic compositions were studied based on the average and best parents. The most important results can be summarized as follows: The best performance of the parent (Sids12) was for the traits of the No. of grains. spike ${ }^{-1}$ (111.409) grains, the weight 1000 grains (42.991)(g), and the single grains yield (60.250) (g) . The hybrid (Sids 12x Sahel 1) showed the highest hybrid vigour for the traits of spike length (22.041) cm, No. of grains. spike ${ }^{-1}$ (147.835) grains, and single grains yield (96.991) (g). The hybrids (Yakora * Sids 12), (Yakora * Sids14), (Giza168* Sahel 1), (Sids 12* Sahel 1), and (Sids 12* Sids14) also showed significant hybrid vigour, calculated on the basis of deviation from the mean of parents for all the studied traits Days $75 \%$ flowering, No. of tillers plant ${ }^{-1}$, spike length (cm), No. of grains spike ${ }^{-1}$, weight 1000 grains (g), single grains yield (g) and Biological yield plant ${ }^{-1}(\mathrm{~g})$, The hybrid (Giemiza 9* Sids14) showed significant hybrid vigour, calculated on the basis of deviation of the first generation from the best parents for all the studied traits Days $75 \%$ flowering, No. of tillers plant ${ }^{-1}$, spike length (cm), No. of grains spike ${ }^{-1}$, weight1000grains $(\mathrm{g})$,singlegrainsyield $(\mathrm{g})$ and Biological yield plant ${ }^{-1}(\mathrm{~g})$.

[^0]
## Introduction

The wheat crop Triticum aestivum L. is one of the most important strategic crops grown globally and locally. Its importance comes from the fact that it is the staple food for many peoples of the world[1]. Bread wheat is a major source of energy for humans, and one of the most important factors that made it important in human nutrition is the good balance between proteins and carbohydrates in its grains, It is used as a raw material in many food industries such as: bread and pastries, as well as its use in industrial fields such as the starch industry and others, in addition to its use as animal feed [2], that Iraq is one of the original places for the origin of wheat and there are factors for the success of cultivating this crop, but the rate of wheat production in Iraq amounted to (4234) thousand tons, while in the world the rate of production reached about 722 million tons, and the cultivated area was estimated at (946.4) thousand hectares for the year [3]. The crossbreeding program provides new unions that enable plant breeders to produce hybrids and select in subsequent isolated generations for genotypes that possess the desired traits. (Half Diallel Cross) and the most common is the second method of in the field of plant breeding and its improvement because it provides plant breeders with important information that helps them choose the appropriate breeding method, in addition to the possibility of obtaining important genetic information about first-generation hybrids and the consequent screening of hybrids and selection of the best ones[3]. It
follows its isolated generations to elect pure strains with characteristics sought by plant breeders. The importance of estimating the components of their genetic variation and then obtaining new unions and benefiting from the phenomenon of hybrid strength and knowing the action of the genes that control the growth characteristics of the individual plant yield and its components to determine the appropriate breeding method for it. The research aims to estimate the strength of the cross on the yield of grain and its main components by cross-crossing between ten genotypes of wheat.

## Materials and methods

Included Study 10 genotypes of bread wheat, namely M45, Yakora, Giza168, Sids 12, SK95, Misr2, Giemiza 9, Sahel 1, Sids14, and Silano. The genotypes (parents and their single crosses) were cultivated at the research station of the Department of Field Crops of the College of Agriculture at the University of Kirkuk. During the winter agricultural season (2021-2022) using a Randomized Complete Block Design (R.C.B.D) and with three replications, As the experimental land was prepared by plowing it with two or thogonal plows using the backhoe plow, then it was blessed and leveled, and triple superphosphate fertilizer was added to it in the form of P 2 O 5 is a source of phosphorus with a concentration of $46 \%$ at a rate of 40 kg . Dunum in one go before planting [4]. And urea fertilizer is a source of nitrogen, its concentration is $46 \%$, at a rate of kg . dunams in two batches, the first at planting and the second at the stage of expelling the spikes explained by [5].

Table (1) shows the names, their genotypes, lineage and origin.

| N | genotypes | Lineage | origin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | M45 | A modern strain derived from crosses | Egypt |
| 2 | Yakora | Ciano 67/Sonora 6411 Klien Rendidor/3/1L815626Y-2M-1Y-0M-302M | Egypt |
| 3 | Giza168 | MRL/BUE/SERI CM93046-8M-0Y-0M-2Y-0B | Egypt |
| 4 | Sids 12 | BUC//7C/ALD/5/MAYA74/ON//1160.147/3/BB/GLL/4/CHAT"S"/6/MAYA/VUL//CMH74A. 63 $0 / 4$ * SX SD7096-4SD-1SD-1SD -0SD | Egypt |
| 5 | SK95 | PASTOR // SITE / MO /3/ CHEN / AEGILOPS SQUARROSA (TAUS) // BCN /4/ WBLL1. CMA01Y00158S-040POY-040M-030ZTM-040SY-26M-0Y-0SY-0S. | Egypt |
| 6 | Misr2 | Class selectedMisr2 is a new bread wheat from the bread wheat strains presented to the Wheat Department of the Agricultural Research Center in Egypt | Egypt |
| 7 | Giemiza 9 | Ald "s"/HUC, "s;//CMH74A.630/SX | Egypt |
| 8 | Sahel 1 | NS 732/PIMA//Very'S' | Egypt |
| 9 | Sids 14 | Bow"s"/Vee"s"//Bow's'/Tsi/3/BANI SUEF 1 SD293-1SD-2SD-4SD-0SD | Egypt |
| 10 | Silano | Newly developed class | Iraq |

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out on the for all the studied traits of the genotypes of(10) parents and their (45) Half- Diallel Crosses for each trait using the Randomized Complete Block Design (R.C.B.D) according to the following mathematical model. .

## Studied Characte

1. Days 75 \% flowering 2. No. of tillers plant ${ }^{-1} 3$. spike length (cm) 4. No. of grains. spike $^{-1} 5$. weight 1000 grains (g) 6. single grains yield (g) 7. Biological yield plant ${ }^{-1}(\mathrm{~g})$.
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mu+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ij}}\left[\begin{array}{llll}i=1 & , & \ldots & 10 \\ i=1 & , & \ldots & 10 \\ r=1 & , & \ldots & 3\end{array}\right]$ Randomized Complete Block Design (R.C.B.D)

## Heterosis

The strength of the Heterosis was calculated on the basis of the mean of the first generation from the mean of parents according to the following equation [6]

$$
\mathrm{H} \%=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{F} 1}-\overline{\mathrm{p}}}{\overline{\mathrm{p}}}=x 100
$$

The significance of the hybrid strength was tested by calculating the value $t$ For each hybrid, as follows
Since: $\mathbf{V}(H)=(3 / 2) \frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}_{0}^{0}}{\mathbf{r}} \quad t=\frac{H I}{\sqrt{V(H)}}$
Environmental variance ${\underset{e}{e}}_{\underset{e}{2}}=\mathbf{m s e}$
Heterosis strength was calculated on the basis of Deviations of the mean of the first generation from the best parenting [7] and as follows: $\mathrm{H}=\overline{F 1}-\overline{B p}$
The significance of the hybrid strength was chosen by calculating the valuet for each hybrid, as follows: $\quad \mathrm{H} \%=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{F} 1}-\overline{\mathrm{Bp}}}{\overline{\mathrm{Bp}}}=$

$$
t=\frac{H I}{\sqrt{V(H I)}} \quad V(\mathbf{H})=2 \frac{\frac{2}{c}}{1}
$$

## Results and discussion

Table (2) shows the results of the analysis of variance for the studied traits. Significant differences were found between the genetic compositions at the $1 \%$ probability level for all the studied traits ,that the differences between the genetic compositions are attributed to the differences in the genetic factors. This requires the continuation of the study of their genetic behavior to know the genetic action that controls the inheritance of the traits under study. The result is considered an important input indicator in the continuation of the genetic analysis of these traits, and the estimation of the components of genetic variation and the action of the genes that dominate these traits. Previous studies by [8], [9], [10] , [11] have also obtained significant differences between the genetic compositions included in their studies. $x 100$
Table (2) Analysis of variance (for parents and first-generation crosses ) for all studied traits

| Source of <br> variance | D.F | Days $75 \%$ <br> flowering | No. of <br> tillers <br> plant- | spike <br> length <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | No. of <br> grains. <br> spike-1 | weight <br> 1000 <br> grains <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | single <br> grains yield <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Biological <br> yield/plan <br> $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{g})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block | 2 | 1.16 | 0.20 | 1.27 | 184.45 | 1.02 | 68.00 | 3.07 |
| Genotypes | 54 | $9.79^{* *}$ | $2.48^{* *}$ | $9.97^{* *}$ | $422.97^{* *}$ | $20.68^{*}$ <br> $*$ | $371.73^{* *}$ | $435.26^{* *}$ |
| Parents | 9 | $8.65^{* *}$ | $2.16^{* *}$ | $10.84^{* *}$ | $325.22^{* *}$ | $17.43^{*}$ | $214.72^{* *}$ | $537.23^{* *}$ |
| Hybrid | 44 | $9.45^{* *}$ | $2.30^{* *}$ | $8.93^{* *}$ | $419.11^{* *}$ | $16.08^{*}$ | $343.61^{* *}$ | $276.52^{* *}$ |
| Error | 108 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 4.76 | 1.98 | 10.47 | 10.55 |

(ns) , (*) And $\left(^{* *}\right)$ is not significant and significant at the level of $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively.

The results of Table 3 show the average values of the parents and F1 hybrids for the studied traits. For the Days 75\% flowering heading trait, the parent( 2) had the shortest flowering time, at $(115,000)$ days, while the
parent 10 was the latest, at $(123,500)$ days , while the hybrid $(6 \times 3)$ was the fastest flowering hybrid, taking $(116,000)$ days to flower, while the hybrid $(10 \times 7)$ was the latest, taking $(122,000)$ days to flower . The
characteristic of the is No. of tillers plant-1 one of the components of the grain yield and is determined by the growth rate of effective tillers as well as the number of lateral branches, which may be affected by agricultural processes, environmental conditions and genetic factors at the stage of tillering production, and through the data of the table (4) For parents and first-generation crosses, it was found that the parent (5) had the largest number of spikes in the plant, with an arithmetic mean of $(14,012)$ spike while register parent (2) the least number of spikes $(10,705)$ spike, hybrid out performance $(8 \times 7)$ over the rest of the hybrid, with an average of 14,953 spike ${ }^{1-}$ while the hybrid $\log (2 \times 1)$ the lowest average for this trait was $(10,909$ )spike ${ }^{1-}$ The reason may be due to the effective stems that the plant can produce and the lack of ineffective stems. The varieties in wheat differ in terms of width, shape and spike length(cm) , and these variables are key indicators in identifying and classifying the different types, as the parent (8) on the rest of the parents by giving him the highest average( 18,589 )cm while $\log$ parent (2) The shortest length of the spike reached $(12,301) \mathrm{cm}$, the hybrid amtaz $(8 \times 4)$ with the maximum length of the spike reached $(22,041) \mathrm{cm}$ and a significant difference from the rest of the hybrids, while the hybrid gave $(10 \times 2)$ the lowest arithmetic mean( 13,826 )cm, and the reason may be attributed to the genetics responsible for this trait as well as the differences between the parents, which may be reflected in the hybrids resulting from their crosses. No. of grains. spike-1 is one of the main components of grain yield in wheat crop, and plant breeders aim to produce ears that have the highest number of grains. While The parent (4) gave the highest average of $(111,409)$ grains, while the parent (2) recorded the lowest average of 74,823 grains. The hybrid $(8 \times 4)$ achieved the highest No. of grains. spike-1 with an average of $(147,835)$ grains, with a significant difference
from the rest of the hybrids. The hybrid $(2 \times$ 1) recorded the lowest number of grains per ear with an average of $(82,909)$ grains. This may be due to the variation in the genetic compositions of this trait, as well as the difference in the process of exploiting growth factors, especially in the flowering stage to produce the largest number of fertile florets. The weight 1000 grains (g) is one of the important traits, which is a biological indicator of the efficiency of transporting the food materials produced at the source to the destination, which is reflected in the storage sites represented by the grain. The parent (7) surpassed the rest of the parents by a significant difference with an average of (44.102 g), while the parents (1) and (2) gave the lowest average of ( $38.204 \mathrm{~g}, 36.736 \mathrm{~g}$ ), respectively. The hybrid $(7 \times 3)$ achieved the highest average of ( 47.790 ) grams, while the hybrid $(3 \times 2)$ recorded the lowest average of $(37.457 \mathrm{~g})$. This may be due to the ability of the destination to absorb as much as possible of the output of the source, which is stored in the grain, thereby increasing its weight. The single grains yield $(\mathrm{g})$ is the final result of most of the physiological and morphological traits of the plant, and increasing this trait is in itself an achievement for plant breeders, especially in wheat crop. The parent (4) gave the highest average of ( 60.250 g ), while the father (2) gave the lowest average of ( 29.447 g). The hybrid $(8 \times 4)$ had the highest average of ( 96.991 g ), while the hybrid $(2 \times 1)$ gave the lowest average of ( 37.543 g ). This may be due to the parent (4) superiority in the traits of number of grains per ear and weight 1000 grains $(\mathrm{g})$, which in turn reflected in his hybrids. For the trait of Biological yield/plant (g) , the parent (7) gave the highest average of $(122.007 \mathrm{~g})$, while the parent (2) gave the lowest average of $(81.171 \mathrm{~g})$. The hybrid ( $9 \times$ 7) had the highest average of ( 139.733 g ), while the hybrid $(6 \times 4)$ gave the lowest average of $(94.326 \mathrm{~g})$.
.Table (3) Arithmetic averages of parents and the second generation of quantitative traits in bread wheat

| Parents and crosses | Days 75\% flowering | No. of tillers plant- 1 | spike length (cm) | No. of grains. spike- | Weight 1000 grains(g) | single grains yield (g) | Biological yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ g)( |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{x} 1 \quad 1$ | 115.583rs | 11.798 d | 14.703 f | 88.826 e | 38.204 d | 40.034 e | 91.403 d |
| 2x2 2 | 115.000 e | 10.705 e | 12.301 g | 74.823 g | 36.736 d | 29.447 f | 81.171e |
| $3 \times 3-3$ | 119.167cd | 12.093 bd | 15.991 de | 101.555 b | 42.272 abc | 51.908 b | 117.823 ab |
| $4 \times 4 \quad 4$ | 122.250b | 12.588 b | 17.531 bc | 111.409 a | 42.991 ab | 60.250 a | 115.343 ab |
| $5 \times 5 \quad 5$ | 119.667c | 14.012 a | 16.815 cd | 94.333 cd | 38.105 d | 50.360 bc | 114.492 b |
| $6 \times 6 \quad 6$ | 121.750b | 12.665 b | 17.823 ab | 80.565 f | 40.673 c | 41.528 de | 106.819 c |
| $7 \times 7$ | 118.333 d | 12.436 bd | 17.789 ab | 94.326 cd | 44.102 a | 51.721 b | 122.007 a |
| $8 \mathrm{x} 8 \quad 8$ | 121.750 b | 11.835 d | 18.589 a | 92.675 d | 42.059 abc | 46.191 cd | 118.981 ab |
| $9 \mathrm{x} 9 \quad 9$ | 122.500 b | 12.510 bd | 17.983 ab | 97.641 c | 41.326 bc | 50.471 bc | 117.785 ab |
| $10 \times 10 \quad 10$ | 123.500 a | 11.819 d | 15.956 e | 86.576 e | 42.241 abc | 43.261 de | 115.427 ab |
| $1 \times 2 \quad 1$ | 116.167 hk | 10.909 t | 13.752 s | 82.909 v | 41.488 mp | 37.543 Y | 99.281 n |
| $1 \times 3 \quad 2$ | 119.500 fi | 12.874 hn | 16.955 mn | 102.572 hk | 42.842 jo | 56.574 kq | 104.600 m |
| $1 \times 43$ | 121.167 ac | 13.186 fk | 19.842 bd | 105.055 fi | 45.181 ci | 62.583 ej | 117.8461 |
| $1 \times 5 \quad 4$ | 119.250 gj | 14.438 Abc | 17.020 ln | 95.109 np | 43.743 gm | 60.113 hn | 117.8431 |
| $1 \times 6 \quad 5$ | 120.750 bcd | 13.294 ek | 18.826 di | 84.605 uv | 40.577 op | 45.646 vw | 117.6611 |
| $1 \times 7 \quad 6$ | 119.250 gj | 13.752 df | 19.579 be | 95.034 np | 41.766 lp | 54.594 or | 132.695 cf |
| $1 \times 8 \quad 7$ | 119.750 eh | 12.796 io | 20.067 bc | 100.826 km | 45.271 bi | 58.393 jp | 130.145 dh |
| $1 \mathrm{x} 9 \quad 8$ | 119.750 eh | 13.411 ei | 18.698 ei | 98.434 ln | 47.301 abc | 62.438 fj | 126.340 gj |
| $1 \times 10 \quad 9$ | 120.000 fi | 12.248 mr | 16.726 np | 90.675 qs | 44.346 fk | 49.276 rv | 122.008 jl |
| $2 \times 3 \quad 10$ | 119.500 fi | 11.701 rs | 14.840 qr | 88.126 su | 37.457 q | 38.580 xy | 119.301 kl |
| $2 \times 4 \quad 11$ | 117.250 oq | 12.640 jo | 15.790 oq | 108.741 de | 44.111 fk | 60.624 hm | 123.324 ik |
| $2 \times 5 \quad 12$ | 119.750 eh | 14.017 be | 17.785 in | 88.308 rt | 39.958 P | 49.437 rv | 119.575 kl |
| $2 \times 6 \quad 13$ | 119.000 hk | 11.685 rs | 14.750 qs | 89.214 qs | 41.727 lp | 43.481 wx | 122.137 jl |
| $2 \times 7 \quad 14$ | 119.000 hk | 12.970 gm | 16.790 no | 91.779 pr | 41.205 np | 49.059 sv | 121.843 jl |
| $2 \mathrm{x} 8 \quad 15$ | 116.500 qs | 11.825 rq | 15.672 pq | 105.935 eh | 42.156 kp | 52.814 qt | 124.326 ik |
| $2 \times 9 \quad 16$ | 117.500 np | 12.587 kp | 17.117 ln | 101.019 km | 42.065 kp | 53.459 ps | 129.480 eh |
| $2 \times 10 \quad 17$ | 119.250 gj | 11.076 st | 13.826 s | 84.782 tv | 41.774 lp | 39.218 xy | 124.324 ik |
| $3 \times 4 \quad 18$ | 118.750 ls | 12.083 or | 19.404 bf | 110.789 cd | 45.826 ag | 61.324 hl | 125.345 hj |
| $3 \times 5 \quad 19$ | 121.500 ab | 12.977 gm | 18.546 ei | 113.419 bc | 45.607 ah | 67.152 cf | 119.910 kl |
| $3 \mathrm{x} 6 \quad 20$ | 116.000s | 12.802 io | 18.875 di | 84.259 v | 43.260 in | 46.677 uw | 131.813 cf |
| $3 \times 7 \quad 21$ | 118.500 jm | 13.475 di | 20.405 b | 113.516 bc | 47.790 a | 73.247 b | 133.986 be |
| $3 \times 8 \quad 22$ | 119.000 hk | 13.957 be | 19.063 cg | 104.086 fk | 46.007 ag | 66.910 dg | 132.794 bf |
| $3 \mathrm{x} 9 \quad 23$ | 117.750 mp | 13.984 be | 19.033 cg | 102.447 hk | 44.308 fk | 63.492 ej | 132.281 cf |
| $3 \times 10 \quad 24$ | 119.500 fi | 11.898 pr | 18.693 ei | 101.324 jm | 43.829 gl | 52.863 qt | 131.254 dg |
| $4 \times 5 \quad 25$ | 118.750 ls | 13.638 dg | 19.220 cf | 114.582 b | 45.826 ag | 71.598 bc | 133.185 be |
| $4 \times 6 \quad 26$ | 120.500cde | 13.219 fk | 17.290 kn | 97.826 mo | 46.159 af | 59.697 ho | 94.326 o |
| $4 \times 7 \quad 27$ | 120.750 bcd | 13.401 aj | 17.942 hm | 107.136 ef | 47.254 abc | 67.812 Cde | 135.148 ad |
| $4 \times 8 \quad 28$ | 119.750 eh | 13.794 cf | 22.041 a | 147.835 a | 47.552 Ab | 96.991 a | 136.796 abc |
| $4 \times 9 \quad 29$ | 120.250 cf | 13.342 ej | 19.525 be | 111.027 cd | 47.260 abc | 69.994 bcd | 121.359 jl |
| $4 \times 10 \quad 30$ | 117.250 oq | 12.454 lq | 17.795 in | 105.826 eh | 45.781 ag | 60.312 hn | 136.796 abc |
| $5 \times 6$ | 118.000lo | 13.102 fl | 16.890 mn | 88.066 su | 44.618 Ej | 51.478 qu | 127.860 fi |
| $5 \times 7 \quad 32$ | 120.000 Dg | 12.922 gn | 20.386 b | 116.231 b | 42.140 kp | 63.269 ej | 121.810 jl |
| 5 x 833 | 117.250 oq | 14.150 bcd | 17.096 ln | 102.530 hk | 42.669 jo | 61.890 fk | 136.796 abc |
| 5 x 934 | 117.750 mp | 12.870 in | 17.451 jn | 101.827 il | 44.726 dj | 58.699 ip | 131.754 cf |
| $5 \times 10 \quad 35$ | 118.250 Kn | 12.594 kp | 18.081 gl | 102.802 gk | 43.364 hn | 56.154 lq | 136.713 abc |
| $6 \times 7 \quad 36$ | 117.000 pr | 13.302 ek | 18.358 fk | 101.486 jl | 46.972 ad | 63.406 ej | 137.793 ab |
| $6 \times 837$ | 117.667 mp | 13.001 gl | 17.286 kn | 104.602 fj | 45.296 bi | 61.677 gk | 132.843 bf |
| $6 \times 938$ | 119.333 fj | 14.571 ab | 18.672 ei | 92.308 pq | 46.781 ae | 62.942 ej | 131.290 dg |
| $6 \times 10 \quad 39$ | 118.500 jm | 13.084 fl | 17.904 hm | 85.242 tv | 42.670 jo | 47.558 tw | 125.822 hj |
| $7 \mathrm{x} 8 \quad 40$ | 120.250 cf | 14.953 a | 19.063 dg | 94.826 op | 45.820 ag | 65.028 dh | 129.400 eh |
| $7 \mathrm{x} 9 \quad 41$ | 120.250 cf | 13.600 dh | 19.784 bd | 101.311 jm | 46.326 af | 63.859 ei | 139.733 a |
| $7 \mathrm{x} 10 \quad 42$ | 122.000a | 12.225 nr | 18.076 gl | 83.243 v | 41.633 lp | 42.352 wy | 134.816 ad |
| $8 \times 9 \quad 43$ | 117.500 np | 12.126 or | 18.517 ej | 102.927 gk | 44.326 fk | 55.350 mq | 132.907 bf |
| $8 \times 10 \quad 44$ | 120.500cde | 12.242 mn | 16.988 hp | 106.136 eg | 42.480 jo | 55.173 nq | 125.459 hj |
| $9 \times 10 \quad 45$ | 120.750 bcd | 12.991 gl | 17.309 kn | 90.076 qs | 44.326 fk | 51.851 qu | 125.338 hj |
| Parents average | 119.950 | 12.246 | 16.547 | 92.272 | 40.870 | 46.517 | 110.125 |
| hybrid average | 119.035 | 12.981 | 17.949 | 100.017 | 44.079 | 57.613 | 126.401 |
| general average | 119.201 | 12.847 | 17.694 | 98.609 | 43.495 | 55.595 | 123.441 |

Table (4) shows the results of the hybrid strength of the studied traits, which were measured on the basis of the deviation of the first generation from the average of the parents in the Half Diallel Crosses, in which it is noted that the trait of the Days 75\% flowering showed a significant negative hybrid strength at the level of probability ( $1 \%$ ) and in the desired direction in hybrids (2x4), (2x8), (2x9), (3x4), (3x6), (3x8), (3x9), (3x10), (4x5), (4x6), (4x8), (4x9), (4x10), (5x6), (5x8), (5x9), (5x10), (6x7), (6x8), (6x9), (6x10), (8x9), (8x10), and (9x10).This means that the above-mentioned hybrids had a shorter heading date than the average of the parents. Plant breeders are always looking for ways to develop crops that flower earlier and have more viable pollen. This is important for pollination and fertilization, which are essential for crop production. The hybrid ( $4 \times 10$ ) had the shortest flowering time, at( 5.625 ) days. The hybrids ( $3 x 6$ ) and ( $8 \times 9$ ) had the next shortest flowering times, at (-4.458 and -4.628 ) days, respectively. The hybrids that flowered the latest were $(1 \times 3)$, $(1 \times 4)$, (1x5), (1x6), (1x7), (1x8), (2x3), (2x5), (2x7), (3x5), and (7x10). The results of this study suggest that the hybrid $(4 \times 10)$ is a promising candidate for further development as a crop with earlier flowering. This could lead to increased crop yields and improved food security. And to traits the No. of tillers plant1 , twenty-two hybrids were produced (1x3) and $(1 \times 4)$ and $(1 \times 5)$ and $(1 \times 6)$ and $(1 \times 7)$ and $(1 \times 8)$ and $(1 \times 9)$ and $(2 \times 4)$ and $(2 \times 5)$ and $(2 x 7)$ and ( $2 x 9$ ) and ( $3 \times 7$ ) and ( $3 \times 8$ ) ( $3 \times 9$ ), ( 4 x 7 ), ( $4 \times 8$ ), ( 5 x 8$),(6 \mathrm{x} 9),(6 \times 10),(7 \mathrm{x} 8)$, ( 7 x 9 ) and ( 9 x 10 ) in the desired direction and high in morale. It gave three hybrids ( $4 \times 9$ ) , ( $6 \times 7$ ) and ( $6 \times 8$ ) were produced the strength of the Heterosis is positive and significant, and the highest increase was (2.817) for the hybrid ( 7 x 8 ). With regard to the characteristic of the spike length (g), the strength of the hybrid was desirable and significant at the probability level of $1 \%$ in hybrids (( $1 \times 3$ ) and (1x4) ,(1x5) , (1x6), (1x7) , (1x8), (1x9), (1x10) , (2x5) , (2x7) , (2x9) , (3x4), (3x5),
(3x6) , (3x7) , (3x8) , (3x9) , (3x10), (4x5), (4x8) , (94x) a, (5x7) , (5x10), (7x9), (7x10) and ( 9 x 10 ) and gave four hybrids ( 2 x 4 ), $(4 \times 10),(6 \times 10)$ and (7x8) at a probability level of $5 \%$. There was a highly significant increase in twenty-nine hybrids ( $1 \times 3$ ), ( $1 \times 4$ ), (1x8) , (1x9) , (2x4), (2x6) , (2x7) , (2x8), ( 2 x 9 ) , ( 2 x 10 ), ( 3 x 4 ), ( $3 \times 5$ ), ( $3 \times 7$ ) , ( $3 \times 8$ ), (3x10) , (4x5), (4x7), (4x8), (4x9), (4x10),
(5x7) , (5x8) , (5x9) , (5x10) , (6x7), (6x8),
(9x7), ( 8 x 9 ) and ( $8 \times 10$ ) for the No. of grains. spike-1 and significant in five crosses (1x5), ( 1 x 7 ), ( 1 x 10 ), ( 2 x 5 ) and ( $6 \times 9$ ), and the highest value was (45.794) For a hybrid (4x8). As for the weight 1000 grains $(\mathrm{g})$, twenty-nine crosses achieved positive and significant hybrid strength at a probability level of $1 \%$, while the crosses were ( $1 \times 10$ ), ( $2 \times 10$ ), ( $3 \times 9$ ), ( $5 \times 8$ ) and $(9 \times 10)$ at a probability level of $5 \%$, and the highest increase was (7.536) for the hybrid (1x9). In the trait single grains yield $(\mathrm{g})$, gave thirtythree hybrids achieved positive and significant hybrid strength at the level of probability of $1 \%$, while it was in six hybrids (1x6), (3x4), (3x10), (5x6), (6x10) and (9x10) were significant at a probability level of $5 \%$, and the highest increase for the hybrid ( $4 \times 8$ ) was (43.771). While for the characteristics of the biological yield, thirty-six hybrids gave positive and highly significant values, and one hybrid ( $4 \times 9$ ) gave a positive and significant hybrid strength and reached the highest value (30.002) for the hybrid (2x9).

The results of the study show that there is a significant hybrid vigor compared to the average of the parents in all the studied traits. The following hybrids showed desirable and significant hybrid vigor $(4 \times 2),(9 \times 2),(8 \times 3)$, $(8 \times 4)$, and $(9 \times 4)$ for all the studied traits. $(8 \times 1), \quad(9 \times 1), \quad(4 \times 3), \quad(7 \times 3), \quad(9 \times 3)$, $(10 \times 4)$, and $(10 \times 5)$ for six of the studied traits. $(3 \times 1),(4 \times 1),(7 \times 2),(8 \times 2),(5 \times 4)$, and $(7 \times 6)$ for five of the studied traits. [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17]. in their previous studies for obtaining a significant and desirable crossbreed strength compared to the average of the parents for the studied traits.

Table (4): Heterosis on the basis deviation of the first generation from the average of the parents.

| Hybrids | Days 75\% flowering | No. of tillers plant-1 | spike length (cm) | No. of grains. Spike-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { weight1000 } \\ & \text { grains }(\mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ | single grains yield (g) | Biological yield/plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1x2 | 0.875* | -0.342 | 0.250 | 1.085 | 4.018** | 2.803 | 12.994** |
| $1 \times 3$ | 2.125** | 0.928** | 1.608** | 7.381** | 2.604** | 10.603** | -0.013 |
| 1x4 | 2.250** | 0.993** | 3.725** | 4.938** | 4.583** | 12.441** | -14.473** |
| 1x5 | 1.625** | 1.533** | 1.261** | 3.530* | 5.589** | 14.916** | 14.895** |
| 1x6 | 2.083** | 1.062** | 2.563** | -0.090 | 1.139 | 4.865* | 18.550** |
| 1x7 | 2.292** | 1.635** | 3.333** | 3.457* | 0.613 | 8.716** | 25.990** |
| 1 x 8 | 1.083** | 0.980** | 3.421** | 10.076** | 5.139** | 15.281** | 24.953** |
| 1 x 9 | 0.708 | 1.257** | 2.355** | 5.200** | 7.536** | 17.186** | 21.746** |
| 1x10 | 0.458 | 0.440 | 1.397** | 2.974* | 4.123* | 7.628** | -18.592** |
| $2 \times 3$ | 2.417** | 0.302 | 0.694 | -0.064 | -2.047* | -2.097 | 19.804** |
| 2 x 4 | -1.375** | 0.993** | 0.874* | 15.625** | 4.248** | 15.775** | 25.067** |
| $2 \times 5$ | 2.417** | 1.658** | $3.228 * *$ | 3.730* | 2.537* | 9.533** | 21.743** |
| 2 x 6 | 0.625 | -0.001 | -0.312 | 11.520** | 3.023** | 7.993** | 28.142** |
| 2x7 | 2.333** | 1.399** | 1.745** | 7.204** | 0.786 | 8.474** | 20.254** |
| 2x8 | -1.875** | 0.555 | 0.227 | 22.186** | 2.759** | 14.995** | 24.250** |
| 2 x 9 | -1.250** | 0.979** | 1.975** | 14.786** | 3.034** | 13.500** | 30.002** |
| 2x10 | 0.0125 | -0.187 | -0.303 | 4.082** | 2.286* | 2.864 | 26.025** |
| 3 x 4 | -1.958** | -0.257 | 2.642** | 4.307** | 3.194** | 5.245* | -8.762** |
| $3 \times 5$ | 2.083** | -0.076 | 2.142** | 15.475** | 5.419** | 16.018** | 3.753 |
| $3 \times 6$ | -4.458** | 0.423 | 1.968** | -6.801** | 1.787 | -0.041 | 19.492** |
| 3 x 7 | -0.250 | 1.210** | 3.515** | 15.576** | 4.602** | 21.432** | 14.071** |
| 3 x 8 | -1.458** | 1.993** | 1.772** | 6.971** | 3.842** | 17.860** | 14.392** |
| 3 x 9 | -3.083** | 1.683** | $2.046 * *$ | 2.849 | 2.509* | 12.303** | 14.477** |
| $3 \times 10$ | -1.833** | -0.058 | 2.719** | 7.258** | 1.573 | 5.278* | 14.629** |
| $4 \times 5$ | -2.208** | 0.338 | 2.047** | 11.711** | 5.278** | 16.293** | 18.268** |
| 4 x 6 | -1.500** | 0.593 | -0.387 | 1.839 | 4.327** | 8.808** | -16.755** |
| 4 x 7 | 0.458 | 0.889** | 0.282 | 4.268** | 3.708** | 11.826** | 16.474** |
| 4 x 8 | -2.250** | 1.582** | 3.981** | 45.794** | 5.027** | 43.771** | 19.634** |
| 4 x 9 | -2.125** | 0.794* | $1.768^{* *}$ | 6.502** | 5.101** | 14.634** | 4.796* |
| $4 \times 10$ | -5.625** | 0.251 | 1.052* | 6.834** | 3.165** | 8.557** | 21.411** |
| 5 x 6 | -2.708** | -0.237 | -0.429 | 0.617 | 5.229** | 5.534* | 17.205** |
| 5x7 | 1.000* | -0.302 | 3.084** | 21.902** | 1.036 | 12.228 | 3.560 |
| 5 x 8 | -3.458** | 1.227** | -0.606 | 9.026** | 2.587* | 13.614** | 20.059** |
| 5 x 9 | -3.333** | -0.391 | 0.053 | 5.840** | 5.010** | 8.284** | 15.616** |
| $5 \times 10$ | -3.333** | -0.321 | $1.696^{* *}$ | 12.348** | $3.191 * *$ | 9.343** | 21.753** |
| 6x7 | -3.042** | 0.751* | 0.552 | 14.040** | 4.585** | 16.781** | 23.380** |
| $6 \times 8$ | -4.083** | 0.751* | -0.919* | 17.982** | 3.930** | 17.817** | 19.943** |
| $6 \times 9$ | -2.792** | 1.983** | 0.769 | 3.205* | 5.782** | 16.943** | 18.988** |
| $6 \times 10$ | -4.125** | 0.842** | 1.015* | 1.672 | 1.213 | 5.163* | 14.699** |
| 7 x 8 | 0.208 | 2.817** | 0.874* | 1.326 | 2.740** | 16.072** | -8.906** |
| 7 x 9 | -0.167 | 1.127** | 1.898** | 5.327** | 3.611** | 12.763** | 19.837** |
| 7x10 | 1.083** | 0.097 | 1.204** | -7.208** | -1.538 | -5.139* | 16.099** |
| $8 \times 9$ | -4.625** | -0.047 | 0.231 | 7.769** | 2.634** | 7.019** | 14.524** |
| $8 \times 10$ | -2.125** | 0.415 | -0.284 | 16.510** | 0.330 | 10.447** | 8.255** |
| 9 x 10 | -2.250** | 0.827** | 0.340** | -2.033 | 2.543* | 4.985* | 8.732** |
| SE(H) | 0.400 | 0.315 | 0.455 | 1.542 | 0.996 | 2.288 | 2.297 |

$(\mathrm{ns}),\left(^{*}\right)$ And $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ is not significant and significant at the level of $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively.

Table (5) shows the results of the hybrid strength for the studied traits, which were measured on the basis of the deviation of the first generation fromThe best parentsAnd it is noted in the description of the duration until the Days $75 \%$ flowering that the hybrids showed (3x6) ,(3x9), (4x6), (4x8), (4x9), (4x10), $(5 \times 6),(5 \times 8),(5 \times 9),(5 \times 10),(6 \times 7),(6 \times 8)$, ( $6 x 9),(6 x 10),(8 x 9),(8 x 10)$ and (9x10) the strength of the hybrid and in the desired direction at the level of probability of $1 \%$, while it gave only one hybrid (6x7) at the level of probability of $5 \%$. And for the description of the No. of tillers plant ${ }^{-1}$ the hybrids gave (1x7) , (1x8) (1x9) , (3x7), (3x8), (3x9), (4x8) , $(6 \times 9),(7 \times 8)$ and $(7 \times 9)$ in the desired direction ( $1.039,1.864,1.474,1.206,1.905,2.516$, and 1.390), respectively, and showed desirable and significant values for two hybrids (1x3) and ( $4 \times 7$ ), which amounted to ( $0.781,0.814$ ), respectively, as for the hybrids ( $2 \times 6$ ), ( $3 \times 5$ ), ( $5 \times 7$ ), ( $5 \times 9$ ) and ( $5 \times 10$ ) were negative and highly significant and significant in three hybrids (1x2), (2x10) and (5x6) in the undesirable direction. As for the trait of spike length (g), the strength of the hybrid was desirable and highly significant at the probability level of $1 \%$ in hybrids ( $1 \times 4$ ), ( $1 \times 7$ ), ( 1 x 8 ), ( $2 \times 4$ ), ( $3 \times 4$ ), ( $3 \times 5$ ), ( $3 \times 7$ ) and ( $3 \times 10$ ). And (4x5), (4x8), ( $4 \times 9$ ), (5x7) and (7x9) and gave four hybrids (1x6), (3x6), (3x9) and (5x10) at a probability level of $5 \%$. The trait No. of grains. spike ${ }^{-1}$ was significantly higher in the hybrids (1x8), (2x6), (2x8), (3x7), (4x8), (5x7), (5x8), ( $5 \times 10$ ), ( $6 \times 7$ ), ( $6 \times 8$ ), ( $8 \times 9$ ), ( $8 \times 10$ ), and $(9 \mathrm{x} 10)$. The hybrids ( 5 x 9 ) and ( 7 x 9 ) also had a significant positive hybrid vigor, with the highest increase being 4.185 for the hybrid (5x9)
and 3.669 for the hybrid (7x9). . For the weight 1000 grains (g), the hybrids gave (1x2), (1x5), (1x8), (1x9), (3x5), (3x7), (3x8), (4x6), (4x7), (4x8), (4x9), (5x6), (5x9), (6x8) (6x9) positive and significant hybrid strength at a probability level of $1 \%$, while hybrids ( $1 \times 4$ ), ( $3 \times 4$ ), ( $4 \times 5$ ), ( 4 x 10 ), ( 6 x 7 ), ( 7 x 9 ) and ( 8 x 9 ) gave positive and significant hybrid strength at The probability level was $5 \%$, and the highest value was (5.975) for the hybrid (9x1). As for the single grains yield (g), twenty hybrids gave positive and highly significant values of hybrid strength at the probability level of $1 \%$ and in three hybrids $(1 \times 10)$ and $(2 \times 8)(5 \times 10)$ were significant at the $5 \%$ probability level, and the highest increase for the hybrid ( $4 \times 8$ ) amounted to (36.741). As for the characteristic of the Biological yield/plant (g), thirty-two hybrids gave a significant desired hybrid strength at the level of probability $1 \%$, while four hybrids ( $1 \times 10$ ), ( $2 \times 5$ ), ( 2 x 8 ) and ( 8 x 10 ) gave a significant hybrid strength at the level of probability 5\%.

We conclude from the above that there is significant hybrid vigor compared to the average of the best in all the studied traits. The following hybrids showed desirable and significant hybrid vigor: $(8 \times 4)$ and $(9 \times 7)$ for all the studied traits. $(8 \times 1)$ and $(7 \times 3)$ for six of the studied traits. $(9 \times 3),(9 \times 4),(9 \times 5),(7 \times 6),(8 \times 6)$, and $(9 \times 6)$ for five of the studied traits..[14], [15] , [16], [17], , [18], [19], [20], [21].obtained in their previous studies for obtaining a moral and desirable crossbreed strength in comparison to the best parents for the studied traits

Table (5): Heterosis on the basis deviation of the first generation from the best parents

| Hybrids | Days 75\% flowering | No.of tillers plant-1 | spike length (cm) | No. of grains. Spike-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & 1000 \\ & \text { grains(g) } \end{aligned}$ | single grains yield <br> (g) | Biological yield/plant g)( |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1x2 | 1.167* | -0.888* | -0.951 | -5.917** | 3.284** | -2.490 | 7.878** |
| $1 \times 3$ | 3.917** | 0.781* | 0.963 | 1.016 | 0.570 | 4.666 | $-13.223 * *$ |
| $1 \times 4$ | 5.583** | 0.598 | 2.311** | -6.354** | 2.190* | 2.333 | 2.503 |
| $1 \times 5$ | 3.667** | 0.426 | 0.205 | 0.776 | 5.638** | 9.752** | 3.351 |
| $1 \times 6$ | 5.167** | 0.628 | 1.003* | -4.221* | -0.095 | 4.118 | 10.842** |
| $1 \times 7$ | 3.667** | 1.316** | $1.790^{* *}$ | 0.707 | -2.337* | 2.872 | 10.688** |
| 1 x 8 | 4.167** | $0.961^{* *}$ | 1.478** | 8.151** | 3.212** | 12.203** | 11.164** |
| 1 x 9 | 4.167** | 0.901** | 0.715 | 0.792 | 5.975** | 11.967** | 8.555** |
| $1 \times 10$ | 4.417** | 0.429 | 0.770 | 1.849 | 2.105 | 6.015* | 6.581* |
| $2 \times 3$ | 4.500** | -0.392 | -1.152* | -13.429** | -4.815** | -13.328** | 1.478 |
| $2 \times 4$ | 2.250** | 0.052 | -1.741** | -2.667 | 1.120 | 0.373 | 7.981** |
| $2 \times 5$ | 4.750** | 0.005 | 0.971 | -6.025** | 1.853 | -0.924 | 5.083* |
| $2 \times 6$ | 4.000** | -0.981** | -3.073** | 8.649** | 1.055 | 1.953 | 15.318** |
| $2 \times 7$ | 4.000** | 0.534 | -0.999* | -2.547 | -2.897* | -2.663 | -0.164 |
| $2 \times 8$ | $1.500^{* *}$ | -0.010 | -2.918** | 13.260** | 0.097 | 6.624* | 5.345* |
| 2 x 9 | 2.500** | 0.077 | -0.866 | 3.377 | 0.739 | 2.988 | 11.695** |
| $2 \times 10$ | 4.250** | -0.744* | -2.130** | -1.794 | -0.467 | -4.043 | 8.897** |
| $3 \times 4$ | -0.417 | -0.505 | 1.873** | -0.620 | 2.835* | 1.074 | 7.522** |
| $3 \times 5$ | 2.333** | -1.035** | 1.731** | 11.864** | 3.335** | 15.244** | 2.087 |
| $3 \times 6$ | -3.167** | 0.137 | 1.053* | -17.296** | 0.987 | -5.231* | 13.990** |
| $3 \times 7$ | -0.667 | 1.039** | 2.616** | 11.961** | 3.687** | 21.339** | 11.979** |
| $3 \times 8$ | -0.167 | 1.864** | 0.474 | 2.530 | 3.735** | 15.002** | 13.813** |
| 3 x 9 | -1.417** | 1.474** | 1.050* | 0.892 | 2.036 | 11.584** | 14.458** |
| $3 \times 10$ | 0.333 | -0.195 | $2.737^{* *}$ | -0.231 | 1.557 | 0.955 | 13.431** |
| $4 \times 5$ | -0.917* | -0.374 | 1.689** | 3.173 | 2.835* | 11.348** | 17.843** |
| $4 \times 6$ | $-1.250 * *$ | 0.554 | -0.533 | -13.583** | 3.168** | -0.553 | -12.493** |
| $4 \times 7$ | $2.417^{* *}$ | 0.814* | 0.153 | -4.273* | 3.152** | 7.561** | 13.141** |
| $4 \times 8$ | -2.000** | 1.206** | 3.452** | 36.427** | 4.561** | 36.741** | 17.815** |
| 4 x 9 | -2.000** | 0.755* | 1.542** | -0.382 | 4.269** | 9.744** | 3.575 |
| $4 \times 10$ | -5.000** | -0.133 | 0.264 | -5.583** | 2.790* | 0.062 | 21.454** |
| $5 \times 6$ | -1.667** | -0.910* | -0.933 | -6.267** | 3.945** | 1.118 | 13.368** |
| $5 \times 7$ | $1.667^{* *}$ | -1.090** | 2.597** | 21.899** | -1.962 | 11.548** | -0.197 |
| $5 \times 8$ | -2.417** | 0.138 | -1.493** | 8.197** | 0.610 | 11.529** | 17.815** |
| 5 x 9 | -1.917** | -1.142** | -0.531 | 4.185* | 3.399** | 8.228** | 13.969** |
| $5 \times 10$ | -1.417** | -1.418** | 1.266* | 8.470** | 1.123 | 5.793* | 21.286** |
| $6 \times 7$ | -1.333** | 0.637 | 0.535 | 7.160** | 2.870* | 11.684** | 15.786** |
| 6x8 | -4.083** | 0.336 | -1.303* | 11.927** | 3.237** | 15.486** | 13.862** |
| 6 x 9 | -2.417** | 1.905** | 0.689 | -5.334** | 5.455** | 12.471** | $13.505^{* *}$ |
| 6x10 | -3.250** | 0.419 | 0.082 | -1.334 | 0.429 | 4.297 | 10.395** |
| 7 x 8 | 1.917** | 2.516** | 0.473 | 0.500 | 1.718 | 13.306** | 7.393** |
| 7 x 9 | 1.917** | 1.090** | 1.801** | 3.669* | 2.223* | 12.137** | 17.726** |
| $7 \times 10$ | $3.667^{* *}$ | -0.211 | 0.287 | -11.083** | -2.469* | -9.369** | 12.809** |
| 8 x 9 | -4.250** | -0.384 | -0.072 | 5.286** | 2.267* | 4.879 | 13.926** |
| $8 \times 10$ | -1.250** | 0.407 | -1.601** | 13.461** | 0.239 | 8.983** | 6.478* |
| $9 \times 10$ | -1.750** | 0.482 | -0.674 | -7.565** | 2.086 | 1.380 | 7.553** |
| SE(H) | 0.462 | 0.363 | 0.525 | 1.781 | 1.150 | 2.642 | 2.653 |

[^1]
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1 مديرية زراعة كركوك ، وزارة الزراعة ، العراق .
2 ${ }^{2}$ قسم المحاصبل الحقلية، كلية الزر اعة

- تاريخ استلام البحث 2023/10/02 وتاريخ قبوله 2023/10/30.
- البحث مستل من أطروحة دكتور اه الباحث الاول .

، SK95 ، Sids 12 ، Giza168 ، Yakora ، M45 تضمنت هذه الدراسة 10 نراكيب وراثية من حنطة الخبز هي Silano ، Sids14، Sahel1، Giemiza9 ،Misr2 قسم المحاصيل الحقلية التابعـة لكليـة الزراعة في جامعـة كركوك خـلا الموسم الزراعي الشتوي (2021-2022 ) بتصميم القطاعات العشوائية الكاملة ( R.C.B.D ) و بثلاثة مكررات ، ودرست الأداء وقوة الهجين على أساس متوسط وافضل الأبوين ولصفات: المدة إلى طرد 50\% من السنابل وعدد السنابل في النبات وطول السنبلة وعدد الحبوب في السنبلة ووزن 1000 حبة وحاصل النبات الفردي والحاصل البيولوجي، ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج كما يلي : كان أفضل أداء للاب (Sids12) لصفات عدد الحبوب بالسنبلة (111.409)حبة ووزن 1000 حبة (42.991) غم وحاصل النبات الفردي (60.250) غم نبات (Sids 12* Sahel 1) ولصفات طول السنبلة (22.041) سم وعدد الحبوب في السنبلة (147.835) حبة وحاصل النبات (60)
 و(Sids 12* Sids14) وقوة هجين عالية المعنوية المحسوبة على أساس انحراف عن متوسط الأبوين لجميع الصفات المدروسة (اللمدة إلى طرد 50\% من السنابل وعدد السنابل في النبات وطول السنبلة وعدد الحبوب في السنبلة ووزن 1000 حبة وحاصل النبات الفردي والحاصل البيولوجي) ، وأعطى الهجين (Giemiza 9* Sids14) قوة هجين معنوية محسوبة على أساس انحراف الجيل الأول عن أفضل الأبوين لجميع الصفات المدروسة .

الكلمات المفتّاحيّة: حنطة الخبز، تهجين التبادلي النصفي، قوة الهجين.
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