The Effect of Cutting on Yield and its Components of four varieties of Barley under Duhok governorate conditions.

Mahammed .Ali Hussein

Aziz Ibrahem Hassan

University of Duhok/ college of Agriculture Soil and water department

Abstract

This study was conducted at the field of the College of Agriculture Dohuk University during the seasons of $\forall \cdot \cdot \neg \neg \neg \lor \cdot \lor$ and $\forall \cdot \cdot \lor \neg \neg \lor \cdot \land$. Four varieties of barley were used in this study (local black, IPA $\P\P$, triticale and Malta $11 \cdot \circ$). The treatments were arranged in factorial experiment ($\ddagger x \And$) using randomized complete block design with four replications. One cut and two cut were preformed for the midlines of each treatment. The data were recorded on ten randomly selected plants. The results showed superiority of non cut treatment. The interaction between the cut and non cut the IPA $\P\P$ was superior to the rest of the varieties, where it was less affected by the cut than the rest of the varieties, followed by Malta $11 \cdot \circ$. As for the green fodder, the two cut treatment was superior to the one cut treatment. The cut was led to increasing the number of tillers. IPA $\P\P$ was the most tolerant variety to the cut as compared with the other varieties followed by Malta $11 \cdot \circ$ for both season. As for the straw yield, the local black was superior in the two cut treatment, whereas IPA $\P\P$ was superior in both (non cut and one cut treatments). It can be concluded that IPA $\P\P$ can take one cut and could be used to produce cereals yield.

Introduction

Some researchers pointed out that both variety and cutting were given negatively affect the productivity of green fodder and cereals yield (Morill: 1947, Yau: 7..7, Al-

Date of receiving $\gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma$.

Hasan: 1990 and Al-Hasan: $7 \cdot \cdot \wedge$); whereas (Washko: 1997, Al-Rawi and Shamma: 1991, Shamm et al.: 1997 and Royo: 1999) noted that cutting does not have negative effect on the cereal yield when studying the barley group-Base 75. The study aims to realize the range of effect of cutting, non-cutting and varieties on the productivity of green fodder as well as the yield and its components under Duhok governorate conditions.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out at the field of the College of Agriculture / Dohuk University during $7 \cdot \cdot 7 - 7 \cdot \cdot \gamma$ and $7 \cdot \cdot 7 - 7 \cdot \cdot \Lambda$ seasons. Four varieties of barely were used in this study, (local black, IPA

and Malta *````*) and three levels of cutting (non, one, and two) for each variety the experiment was designed according to randomized completed block design with four replications.

Each experimental unit contained four lines of \circ m length. Distance between lines was $\land \cdot$ cm. The plants were cut over two stages: the first was at the end of the tillers, and the second was at the beginning of nodes stage. The date of planting was during the second half of November, and the harvest was at the end of May. Rainfall amount were recorded during the two planting season (Table \land).

Complementary irrigation was used in $\checkmark \cdot \cdot \lor - \checkmark \cdot \cdot \land$ twice the first in November and second in May. Characteristics were studied by selecting $\land \cdot$ plants and only from the middle lines, for plant height /cm., number of spikes/m^{\,}, number of tillers/plant, number of kernels/spike, $\land \cdot \cdot \cdot$ kernels weight/gm., cereals yield/kg./m^{\,}, green fodder/Kg/D and straw/Kg./m^{\,}. Statistical analysis was done according to RCBD and comparison among means was done by using Dunca'n's Multiple Range test (Al-Rawi and Khalaf Allah, $\land \uparrow \land \cdot$).

Table (1) the rainfall (mm^{r}) during season $7 \cdot 7 \cdot 7 \cdot 7$ and $7 \cdot 7 \cdot 7 \cdot 7$ Duhok Agriculture-college station.

								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	Average		
١٨,٥	۲۳,٤		09,7	07,.	00,9	۷.,۸	۱٦,٠	0.,.۳		
	ΥΥ_ΥΛ									
October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	Average		
	٣,0	۲,۱	09,5	٤٨,١	22,2	١,٥		۱۷,۱		

۲..٦_۲..٧

Results and Discussions

Plant height (cm.): The findings of the statistical analysis showed highly significant differences between cutting treatments, varieties and their interaction for the two season (Table \checkmark) where the plant height in non-cutting treatment was $1 \cdot \circ, \circ \land$ cm,

Where it was 97,77 and 01,00 cm in the two treatments of cutting for season 7.17.7.

These results were similar to Dashora et al. (1977), McNeal et al. (1977), Puri et al. (1977) and Goodhied (1997) concluded.

Treatments	Plant	No. of	No. of	Weight	No. of	Yield	Yield	Forage
	height/	spike/	kernels/	of \cdots	tillers/	kernels	of	yield
	cm	m۲	spike	kernels	plant	g/m۲	straw	Kg./m۲
				/gm			g/m۲	
Y • • 7_Y • • V								
Non cutting	1.0,01	208,88	٣٤,٧٧	۳۸,٤٠	٦,٤٤	۰,٦٦٨	•.957	
	а	а	а	а	а	а	a	
One cutting	97,77	۲۳۷,۱٦	۳۳,٤٧	٣٦,٨٨	०,४१	۰,09۷	١,• ٤ ٤	१४१,२१
	b	ab	ab	b	b	b	a	а
Two cutting	٥٨,٠٥	222,91	۳۰,۳۲	50,71	०,२०	۰,٥٠١	•,٧٣٣	١٤٩٨,٨٧
	с	b	b	с	b	b	с	b
			۲	•••				
Non cutting	٧٧,٦٦	751,88	87,71	۳٦,١٨	٦,٤٩	۰,٦٠٢	۰,۹۱۸	
	а	а	ab	а	ab	а	a	
One cutting	٦٨,٥٨	777,91	50,55	۳۳,۷۰	٧,٢٢	۰,0۳٥	۰,۷۳۳	9.0,77

Table: (^{*}) Effect of the interaction between seasons, cutting and non cutting on study traits.

	b	ab	а	b	а	b	b	b
Two cutting	٦٢,•٨	١٧٠,٦٦	29,01	۳۲,۱۱	0,11	•,277	۰,۷۱۰	2252,22
	с	b	с	с	с	с	b	а

Number of Kernels/Spike

The results showed that mean square for this character was highly significant for cutting treatments and varieties and their interaction in the two season (Table γ). Cutting treatments showed $\gamma \xi, \forall \forall$ kernels/spike and this number reduced in one and two cuttings where it was $\gamma \gamma, \xi \forall$ and $\gamma, \gamma \gamma$ respectively in season $\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma - \gamma \cdot \cdot \forall$.

In season $\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma \cdot \gamma \cdot \cdot \lambda$, On the other hand, the number of kernels/spike in the one-cutting treatment was $\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \gamma$, followed by $\gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma$ in the non-cutting treatment.

The two cutting treatments exhibited lower number of seeds $\gamma \gamma, \circ$; and this shows that the onecutting has increased the number of tillers in square meter (Table γ).

As for the interaction between the cutting treatments and varieties. (Table \mathfrak{t}) showed that the Triticale \mathfrak{IT} was superior over the rest of barley varieties in the non-cutting treatment, whereas IPA: \mathfrak{IPA} : \mathfrak{IPA} :

In season $\forall \cdot \cdot \vee \neg \uparrow \cdot \cdot \wedge$, IPA $\neg \neg$ barley was superior to the rest of varieties in all treatments and varieties of cutting.

Number of spikes/m^{*}

The statistical analysis showed mean square of cutting treatments was significant (Table \uparrow) where the non-cutting treatment was superior in the number of spikes/m \uparrow as compared with two-cutting treatments for both seasons, where it reached to $\uparrow \circ \P, \P \P$ in season $\uparrow \cdot \cdot \neg \neg \uparrow \cdot \cdot \lor$ and $\uparrow \notin \uparrow, \P \P$ in $\uparrow \cdot \cdot \lor \neg \uparrow \cdot \cdot \land$ (Table \P). This can be attributed to the amount of the rainfall during the growth season (Goodchied: $\uparrow \P \P \lor$).

(Table ξ) shows the means of cutting treatments and varieties, where in season $\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma_{-} \gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma_{+}$ black barley was superior to the rest of varieties with non-cutting treatment. IPA $\gamma \gamma_{-}$ barely was superior with the one-cutting treatment and the local black barley was superior to the rest of varieties in two-cutting treatment.

The varieties followed the same way in season $\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma \cdot \gamma \cdot \cdot \Lambda$ Mohammed $(\gamma \cdot \gamma \cdot \gamma)$ obtained similar results in the Spa town area.

Weight of **\...** Kernels /gm.

The cut had an obvious effect on the weight of $1 \cdots$ kernels, particularly in the two-cutting treatment (Table \mathcal{T}). Dunphx et al. (19 Λ \mathcal{T}) referred to a reduction in the weight of $1 \cdots$ kernels/gm which could attributed to the fact that the plants had no enough chance to be filled with kernels.

Whereas it was $\forall \xi, \cdot, \cdot / gm$ in $\forall \cdot, \cdot \vee - \forall \cdot, \cdot \wedge$. The interaction between cutting treatment and varieties seasons, (Table ξ) showed that IPA $\P\P$ surpass with other varieties and in all the treatments (cutting and non-cutting) in season $\forall \cdot, \forall - \forall \cdot, \vee$.

On other hand, on season $\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma_{-} \gamma \cdot \cdot \wedge$, the IPA 99 barley was also surpass other varieties and in all the treatments, followed by Malta barley which had no significant difference IPA 99 barley; and this agrees with what Hadjichristodiubu (19), Drashiot is and Wilman (19), Mohammed (199) and Al-Hasan (199).

Number of tillers/plant

The statistical analysis showed that mean square for cutting treatment varieties and their interaction was highly significant in the two seasons (Table γ). The non-cut treatment surpass one and

two-cut treatments, in $\gamma \cdot \gamma_{-} \gamma \cdot \gamma'$ the one-cut treatment surpass the non-cut and two-cut treatments where the number of tillers was $\gamma_{+} \gamma \gamma'$ (Table γ).

(Table ξ) showed local black barley was superior to the rest of varieties in both non-cut and one-cut treatments for the two seasons, whereas IPA 99 barley was superior to the rest of varieties in the two-cut treatment, followed by Malta barley 11.0.

Cereals Yield: Kg./m^{*}

The statistical analysis showed highly significant mean square for cutting treatment, varieties and their interaction in the two seasons (Table ^{Υ}). Since the non-cut treatment was superior to the two cut treatments in the cereal yield, this is attributed to the fact that the cut (one and two) has affected the cereal outcome (Table ^{Υ}); and this agrees with what has been recorded by Morill (^{$\Upsilon + \Upsilon T$}), Yau. S-K (^{$\Upsilon + \Gamma T$}) and Hasan (^{$\Upsilon + \Gamma \xi$}).

(Table ξ) shows the effect of interaction between cutting treatment and varieties, where IPA 99 barley was superior to all of the treatments (non-cut and cut) and for both seasons, followed by Malta 1100 barley and the local black barley, this also similar to what Al-Rawi and Shamma (1991) and Shamma et al. (1997) referred to when they studied hereditary groups of barley 77ξ Basc

Yield green fodder Kg./D.

Depending on the findings of the statistical analysis, it was showed that mean square for cutting treatments, varieties and their interaction was highly significant for this character in the two seasons (Table \uparrow). In $\uparrow \cdot \cdot \neg \neg \neg \cdot \vee$ the two-cut treatment was superior to the one-cut where the green fodder outcome was $\uparrow \epsilon \wedge \wedge \wedge \vee kg./D$.

The above mentioned treatment followed the same trend in season $\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma_{-} \gamma \cdot \cdot \Lambda$ where the weight was $\gamma \gamma \leq \gamma, \Lambda \gamma$ Kg./D. This could be attributed to the increase the number of tillers after the first cut (Table γ).

(Table ξ) showed the interaction between cutting treatment and varieties, where the IPA 99 barley was superior to the rest of the varieties in the one-cut and the two-cut treatments followed by Malta 1100 barley and for both seasons.

Straw yield kg./m⁷

Table ($^{\vee}$) showed the mean square of cutting treatments for two season, where in season $^{\vee} \cdot \cdot ^{\vee}$ the one-cut treatment was superior in the straw yield to the two-cut treatment and the difference with the non-cut treatment was not significant.

In season $\forall \cdot \cdot \lor \neg \uparrow \cdot \cdot \land$ the non-cut treatment was superior to both one-cut and two-cut treatments, and that the difference between the one-cut and two-cut in the straw field was not significant.

As for the interaction effect of the cutting treatments with varieties, the IPA 99 barley was superior to the rest of varieties in the non-cut and the one-cut treatments, whereas the local black barely was superior in the two-cut treatment in season $7 \cdot \cdot 7 \cdot 7 \cdot 7$. On the other hand, in season $7 \cdot \cdot 7 \cdot 7 \cdot 7$, the local black barely was superior to the rest of treatments non-cut and cut to the rest of the varieties (Table ξ).

cutting	Varieties	Plant height/ cm	No. of kernels/ spike	No. of spike∕ m۲	Weight of v kernels /gm	No. of tillers/ plant	Yield kernels g∕mኘ	Yield straw g/m ^۲	Forage yield Kg./m ^۲	
	YY									
	IPA٩٩	117,17	٣٩,٢٦	711,17	٤٣,٣٣	٧,٥٦	٠,٦٤٠	1,771.		
g		а	b	b	а	а	а	а		
tti	Triticale	1.1,1.	٤٢,٥٠	22	٣٦,	٤,٦٨	٠,٦٤٠	۰,0۹۰		
cn	131	b	а	e	b	d	а	d		
uo	Black	۹۷,۰۰	17,70	۲۸۰,۰۰	٣٦,٤٦	۸,٦٠	۰,٦٦،	1,.0.		
Ž	barley	с	e	а	b	а	abc	а		
	Malta	۱۰۸,۱۰	٤١,٠٠	751,77	۳۷,۸۳	٦,١١	۰,٦٧٠	1,		

Table (£):ffect the interaction between cutting, non cutting, seasons and arieties on study traits.

	barley	b	а	d	b	b	а	а	
	IPA٩٩	1.0,70	٤٥,٥٦	22.,77	٤٢,٢٠	٧,١٣	۰,٦٣٠	1,70.	۱۰۸٦,۸۰
utting		b	а	b	а	а	b	а	e
	Triticale	٨٥,٢٦	۳٦,٢٦	197,22	۳۳,٦٦	٦,٩٠	۰,۵۷۰	۰,۹۲۰	۸۷٦,٧٠
	171	d	cd	f	с	b	с	b	f
o e	Black	٩٣,٩٣	10,00	۲٥٦,٦٧	۳۰,۸۰	٧,٩٣	۰,0۹۰	1,7	۹.٧,٩.
On	barley	с	e	с	а	а	с	а	g
Ŭ	Malta	۸٦,٦٠	۳۷,۰۱	۲۳۳,۳۳	۳0, ۸۰	٤,٠٠	۰,0۹۰	٠,٧٩٠	۱ • ٤٧,٣ •
	barley	с	b	d	с	d	с	с	e
	IPA٩٩	75,50	٤٠,٦٦	۲۰۸,۳۳	٤٠,١٦	٦,٩٣	.,07.	۰,۸۰۰	1822,20
50		е	а	e	а	b	d	b	а
.in	Triticale	٥٦,٨٠	19,00	197,77	37,28	٤,٣٢	• , 20 •	•, ٣١٠	۱۳۳۸,۰۰
intt	171	e	d	f	с	d	e	f	d
0	Black	٦١,٨٠	18,70	777,77	٣٤,١٨	٦,٢٨	• , • • •	١,٠٩٠	1277,9.
Ň	barley	e	e	а	с	b	f	а	с
	Malta	29,78	۳۷,۰۰	220,	۳٦,١٠	0,•1	۰,0۱۰	۰,٦٧٠	1011,7
	barley	f	b	e	b	с	d	d	b
				۲۷_۲	•••				
	IPA٩٩	۷۱,۳۳	٤١,٣٠	201,11	٤٠,٤٣	٦,٧٢	۰,٦٢٠	۰,۹۲۰	
50		с	b	abc	а	с	а	b	
ing	Triticale	۸٩,	٣٦,٨٧	۲۱۰,۰۰	٣٤,٠٠	٤, • •	۰,٥٧٠	۰,۸۰۰	
att		а	с	de	de	f	с	с	
n c	Black	२०,२२	17,27	22	٣٤,٢٠	٨,٢٠	۰,٦٠٠	۱,۰۰۰	
No	barley	d	e	а	cd	а	b	а	
	Malta	٨٤,٦٦	۳۹,۸۳	۲۳٦,٦٧	۳٦,١٠	٧,٠٦	۰,٦١٠	۰,۹٤۰ ab	
	barley	b	bc	d	e	b	ab		
	IPA٩٩	71,77	55,77	720,	37,07	٧,١٣	۰,۵۷۰	•,^1•	۱۰۱۳,۸۰
50		e	а	b	b	b	с	с	e
ing	Triticale	٨٤,٦٦	٤١,١٦	171,	31,01	٦,٦٠	.,01.	۰,۸۰	۷٥٠,٣٠
intt	171	b	b	f	de	с	e	с	h
e c	Black	07,77	١٣,٦٦	252,22	۳۱,۸۳	٧,٨٠	.,01.	۱,۰۳۰	917,0.
uO	barley	f	e	b	e	ab	de	а	g
•	Malta	۷٥,	51,77	222,	۳۲,۹۰	٧,٣٦	۰,٥٤٠	۰,٦٧.	٩٤٣,٨٠
	barley	с	b	e	de	b	d	e	f
	IPA٩٩	۷٥,	۳۸,۸۳	177,17	۳۷,۳٦	0,77	۰,٤٩٠	۰,٧٤٠	2028,20
50		с	с	f	bc	d	f	d	а
jug	Triticale	٧٣,٣٣	٣٤,٣٣	151,	89,0.	۳,۲۳	• , ź • •	۰,۳٦،	1997,7.
uti	131	с	d	g	f	g	h	f	d
0 0	Black	01,	۱۰,٦٦	۲۱۸,۰۰	۳۰,٤۰	0,71	•,20•	١,.٧.	۲۱۷۰,٤۰
Γw	barley	f	e	e	e	d	g	а	с
	Malta	٦٧,٠٠	32,78	120,	۳۱,۲۰	0,7.	۰,٤٧٠	۰,٦٧٠	۲۳۰۰,۳۰
	barley	d	d	g	e	d	f	e	b

References

- 1- Hasan. Abbas. M. A. Mohammed. (۲۰۰۸). Forage and grain yield of some barley genotypes under rain-fed conditions. Mesopotamia-s.J. of Agri. ^{۳٦} (1): 171-177.
- Y- Al-Hasan. Abbas. M. A. (1990). Effect of growth stage, clipping and seeding rates on growth, forage and seed production of barley under rain-fed conditions. Ph. D. thesis submitted to college of Agriculture and forestly. Mosul University.
- ^r- Al-Rawi- Baha. A. and A. M. Shamma. (1991) Effect of processes clipping on morphological yield components and grain yield of barley. (H –vulgar L). Journal of IPA Agricultural Research Center. Vol. (1). (1): 17-71. (in Arabic).
- ٤- Al-Rawi. K. M. and A. M. Khalaf- Allah (۱۹۸۰). Design and analysis of Agricultural experiments directorate of book house of publishing and pressing Mosul Univ. Iraq. (in Arabic)
- •- Al-Shamma. A. M. B. A. AlRawi and M. J Abdulrahman. (1997). Nature of tolerance to continuous clipping among clipping among three Iraqi fodder barley land races and some of their extracts. Proceeding of the workshop on technology transfer in the production of cereals and legumes ⁷ •-⁷ Sep. pp. ⁷ £-^rA.
- 7- Dashora. S. L. A. K. Rahtore. S. B. S Tikka and R. k. Sharma. (1977). correlation and path coefficient analysis for morphological characters in barley. Indian Journal of Agricultural sciences. Vol. 57: TA1-TA0.

- Y- Droshiotis, D. N. and L. Wilman. (۱۹۸۷). effect of harvesting program sowing date on forage yield, digestibility nitrogen concentration, tillers area fraction barley incyprus. J. Agric. Sci. comb. ۱۰۹: ۹۰-۱۰۰.
- A- Dumphy, D. S. McDanici. M. E and Hoit, E. C. (1947). effect of forage utilization on wheat grain yield. crop science 77: 17.-1.9.
- ۹- Goodchied, A. V. (۱۹۹۷). Effect of rainfall and temperature on the feeding value of barley straw in a semi-arid Mediterranean environment. Journal of Agricultural science. Cambridge. ۲۲۹: ۳۵۳-
- ۱۰- Hadjicnristodoulou. A. (۱۹۸٦). Barley improvement for different uses in dry area in cyrus. Rachis ^۸. (۱): ۹-۱۱.
- 11- McNeal, F. H, c. O. Qualset, D. E. Baldrige and V. R. Stewart. (1974). Selection for yield and yield components in wheat crop science. Vol. 14: Y90-Y99.
- 17- Mohammed- Mahfoth. A. and Abbas. M. Al-Hsan. (7...٤). Effect of clipping at joining stage on yield and its component of several barley varieties and stains under fed condition at north Iraq. Iraqi- J. of Agri- sciences. o. (٤): 1.7-1.7.
- Mohammed-Mahfoth. A. (199.). Effect of different stages of tipping on yield and yield components for two varieties of barley under dry condition of north Iraq. Mesopotamia. J. of Agri. YY. (1): YY1-YE9.
- ۱٤- Morrill. L. Ag. ۱۹۷۳. Effect of fertilization and other management variable on wheat forage Res. Rep. No. p: ٦٨٤.
- Yo- Puri. Y. P., C. O. Qualset and W. A. Willams. (YAY) Evaluation of yield component as selection criteria in barley breading. Corp science. Vol. YY: 97Y-97).
- N- Royo. A. C. and f. Tribb. (1997). Triticale and barely for grain and for dual- purpose (forage and grain) in a Mediterranean-type environment 11. yield and yield component and quality. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 5A: 577-77.
- N- Roy. A. C. (1999). Plant Recovery and grain yield formation in barely and triticale following forage Removal at two cutting stages. J. Agronomy and crop science 197: 100-147.
- ¹A- Yau. S. K. ($\gamma \cdot \cdot \gamma$). Yield of early planted barley after clipping or Grazing in a semiarid area. Agronomy. Journal Vol. as: (ξ): $\Lambda \gamma \gamma_{-} \Lambda \gamma \gamma_{-}$.
- ۱۹- Washko. J. R. (۱۹٤۷). The effect of grazing winter small grains. Journal of the American society of Agronomy. Vol: ۳۹: ۲۰۹-۲۲٦.

تأثير القطع على الحاصل ومكوناته لأربعة أصناف من الشعير تحت ظروف محافظة دهوك محمد علي حسين قسم التربة والمياه / كلية الزراعة/ جامعة دهوك

المستخلص

أجريت الدراسة في محطة أبحاث كلية الزراعة جامعة دهوك وللموسمين (٢٠٠٦-٢٠٠٧) و (٢٠٠٧-٢٠٠٨). استخدم في التجربة أربعة أصناف من الشعير وهي اسود محلي وآباء ٩٩ وتريتكيلي وشعير مالطا . وضعت المعاملات في تجربة عامليه (٣X٤)وبتصميم من القطاعات العشوائية الكاملة وبأربعة مكررات زرع كل تركيب

وراثي بأربعة خطوط طول الخط منتر والمسافة بين الخطوط ٢٠ سم وبكمية بذار ٢٦٠ كغم /هـ .

أُخَذت حشة واحدة وحشتين من الخطوط الوسطية لكل معاملة وأخذت البيانات على عشرة نباتات أخذت بصورة عشوائية . أظهرت النتائج تفوق المعاملة بدون حش على معاملات الحش (حشة واحدة او حشتين) في حاصل الحبوب ولم يكن للمواسم تأثيرا معنويا على الكثير من الصفات المدروسة اما التداخل بين الحشو وعدم الحش فقد تفوق شعير آباء ٩٩ على بقية الأصناف حيث كان تأثيره بالحش اقل من بقية الأصناف ويلي شعير مالطا اما بالنسبة الى الحاصل علف الاخضر فقد تفوق المعاملة حشتين على المعاملة حشتين على المعاملة حشة واحدة وادى الحش الى زيادة عدد التفر عات وكان شعير آباء ٩٩ أكثر الأصناف تحملا للحش بالمقارنة مع بقية الأصناف الأخرى ويليه صنف مالطا ولكلا الموسمين . اما بالنسبة الى حاصل القش فقد تفوق شعير اسود محلي في معاملة حشتين في حين تفوق اباء ٩٩ في معاملتين عدم الحش وحشة واحدة ومن ذلك يمكن الاستنتاج بان صنف آباء ٩٩ يمكن اخذ منه حشة واحدة وتركه لإعطاء حاصل الحبوب.